UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

	Je	sus Go	onzalez-Molina	Case Number:	18-00100MJ	
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 are established: (Check one or bo	``	n submitted to the Court. I conclude	
	-		onvincing evidence the defendant this case.	is a danger to the community and	require the detention of the defendant	
			rance of the evidence the defenda this case.	ant is a serious flight risk and requ	ire the detention of the defendant	
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined in	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximu	um sentence is life imprisonment	or death.	
			an offense for which a maximun	n term of imprisonment of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	er the defendant had been conviction (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of	ted of two or more prior federal offenses r local offenses.	
				ned in section 921), or any other d	ssion or use of a firearm or destructive langerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)		S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of nation)(release of the defendant from			
	(4)	will rea	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a asonably assure the safety of (an) outted this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that no control of the rerson(s) and the communi	condition or combination of conditions ty. I further find that the defendant has	
			Al	ternative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	e cause to believe that the defend	ant has committed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of im	prisonment of ten years or more	is prescribed in1	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a	a), or 2332b.		
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of impriso	onment of 20 years or more is	
			an offense involving a minor vic	tim under section	²	
	(2)	The de	efendant has not rebutted the pres	sumption established by finding 1	that no condition or combination of uired and the safety of the community.	

 $^{^{1}} Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
\boxtimes	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
×	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
⊠ □					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant has ties to a foreign country. There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 5th day of February, 2018

Michelle H. Burns
United States Magistrate Judge